UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/711,150	08/27/2004	James Anderson	81101894 / FMC 1772 PUS	5149
28395 BROOKS KUS	7590 01/16/2008 SHMAN P.C./FGTL		EXAMINER	
1000 TOWN C	ENTER		GATES, ERIC ANDREW	
22ND FLOOR - SOUTHFIELD), MI 48075-1238		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3722	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/711,150	ANDERSON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Eric A. Gates	3722			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		I I			
Period fo						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is a solution of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Be(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	and the second s					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 No	ovember 2007.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	·					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	I53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.					
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-20</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-5</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) 2 and 6-8 is/are objected to.					
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed 6 November 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kuriki et al. (U.S. Patent Publication 2001/0011055 A1).
- 4. Regarding claim 1, Kuriki et al. discloses a machining system 1 having a machining envelope, the machining system comprising: a housing 19 defining at least a portion of the machining envelope; a hopper 11 having a top surface 15 defining an opening (the opening is defined as the area to the right of 15 in figure 2); and a seal 32 extending from the top surface to the housing (as seen in figure 2) such that the seal does not extend into the opening and such that the seal encompasses the opening; wherein the hopper is configured to receive particulates when positioned below the machining envelope.
- 5. Regarding claim 4, Kuriki et al. discloses a bottom panel (bottom portion of 11 between legs 12) and at least one channel adapted to receive a forklift fork

Art Unit: 3722

disposed proximate the bottom panel (the area between legs 12 is adapted such that it could receive a forklift fork).

6. Regarding claim 5, Kuriki et al. discloses a funnel 19b adapted to direct particulates into the hopper 11 disposed on the housing above the hopper and below the machining envelope (as seen in figure 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuriki et al. in view of Stolzer et al. (U.S. Patent 5,115,599).
- 9. Regarding claim 3, Kuriki et al. discloses wherein the hopper 11 further comprises a bottom panel (see figure 1). Bratten does not disclose a set of wheels disposed proximate the bottom panel.

Stolzer et al. teaches the use of wheels 14 on a workpiece handling system 10 for the purpose of enabling the system to be mobile. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the machining system of Kuriki et al. with the wheels of Stolzer et al. in order to have a system that can be moved for maintenance or to allow for another system to be brought in.

Art Unit: 3722

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 2 and 6-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claims 17-20 are allowed. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The closest prior art of record is U.S. Patent 6,116,616 to Bratten, which was applied to the claims in the office action mailed 14 September 2007. Suffice it to say, the patent to Bratten does not disclose "a seal disposed on the top surface such that the seal extends completely around and is spaced apart from the second aperture" as claimed in independent claim 17, and as such does not anticipate the instant invention as disclosed in independent claim 17.

Furthermore, there is no combinable teaching in the prior art of record that would reasonably motivate one having ordinary skill in the art to so modify the teachings of Bratten, and thus, for at least the foregoing reasoning, the prior art of record does not render obvious the present invention as set forth in independent claim 17.

Response to Arguments

- 12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 13. For the reasons as set forth above, the rejections are maintained.

Art Unit: 3722

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Di Pierro et al. discloses a chopping device with a hopper and a seal.

15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric A. Gates whose telephone number is (571) 272-5498. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:45 - 6:15.

Art Unit: 3722

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Monica Carter can be reached on (571) 272-4475. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

408

EAG 9 January 2008 MONICA O COLOR
MONICA CARTER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER